

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------|--|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/812,542 | 03/30/2004 | Shau-Lin Franklin Chen | 4932 3423 | | |
| | 7590 05/16/2007 CATALYSTS LLC EXAMINER | | | | |
| 100 CAMPUS DRIVE | | | NGUYEN, CAM N | | |
| FLORHAM PARK, NJ 07932 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1754 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 05/16/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/812,542 | | CHEN ET AL. | 1 | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | |
| | | Cam N. Nguyen | • | 1754 | | |
| The MAILING DATE Period for Reply | of this communication app | ears on the cove | r sheet with the co | orrespondence ad | 1dress | |
| after SIX (6) MONTHS from the ma - If NO period for reply is specified at - Failure to reply within the set or exti | , FROM THE MAILING DA e under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ling date of this communication. love, the maximum statutory period we ended period for reply will, by statute, er than three months after the mailing | ATE OF THIS CO 36(a). In no event, how vill apply and will expire cause the application | OMMUNICATION rever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED | L. ely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133). | • | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL 3) ☐ Since this application | <i>,</i> — | action is non-fin | al. rmal matters, pro | | e merits is | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are 8) ☐ Claim(s) are s Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is of | m(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are withdraw e allowed. rejected. e objected to. ubject to restriction and/or | n from consider r election require | ement. | | | |
| • | est that any objection to the othersheet(s) including the correcti | drawing(s) be held ion is required if th | l in abeyance. See ne drawing(s) is obje | 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C | FR 1.121(d). | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTC) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent | Drawing Review (PTO-948) nt(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 4) 5) 6) | Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other: | te | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-10, in the reply filed on February 16, 2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 11-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention(s), there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made <u>without traverse</u> in the reply filed on <u>February 16</u>, 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 (Second Paragraph)

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "catalyst is present in the form of at least two catalytic zones" is unclear as to what applicants intend. Is this an apparatus limitation, which requires an upstream catalyst zone and downstream catalyst zone? Thus, renders the claim vague and indefinite.

Art Unit: 1754

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(b)

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-7 & 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shelef et al., "hereinafter Shelef", (US Pat. 5,556,825).

Shelef discloses an automotive catalyst system, which comprises: a substrate; a gamma-alumina washcoat support material; a substantially atomic layer thickness of lanthana deposited on said alumina; a layer of oxygen storage material selected from the group consisting of ceria, praseodymia, and mixtures thereof deposited on said lanthana coating; and at least a partial layer of catalytic material selected from platinum, palladium, or mixtures thereof deposited on said oxygen storage material (see col. 12, claim 1). The system further includes catalytic material selected from the group consisting of rhodium, and rhodium and platinum, carried on zirconia deposited onto said substrate (see col. 12, claim 18). See entire reference for further details.

Shelef discloses a catalyst system having multi catalytic layers containing the claimed metal components and support material on the claimed substrate, thus the claims are anticipated by the reference.

There is no patentable distinction seen between the claimed catalyst and that disclosed by Shelef.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shelef et al., "hereinafter Shelef", (US Pat. 5,556,825).

Shelef discloses a catalyst system as described above, but silent with respect to the thickness of each catalytic layers.

It would have been *prima facie obvious* to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have predetermined optimum thickness for each of said catalytic layers in order to achieve an effective catalyst system for treating automotive exhaust gases, in view of *In re Boesch*.

Citations

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. All references are cited for related art. See PTO-1449 Form prepared.

Conclusion

10. Claims 1-20 are pending. Claims 1-10 are rejected. Claims 11-20 are withdrawn due to nonelected (distinct) invention(s). No claims are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,542

Art Unit: 1754

Contacts

Page 5

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Primary Examiner Cam N Nguyen, whose telephone

number is 571-272-1357. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00 AM -

6:30 PM, at alternative work site.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Cam N. Nguyen/

Nguyen/cnn

Primary Examiner

May 14, 2007

Art Unit: 1754